

TE KAUNIHERA O TE AWA KAIRANGI | HUTT CITY COUNCIL

Minutes of an ordinary meeting of the Hutt City Council held in the Council Chambers,
2nd Floor, 30 Laings Road, Lower Hutt on
Tuesday 10 September 2024 commencing at 9.00am

PRESENT:

Mayor C Barry (Chair)	Deputy Mayor T Lewis
Cr G Barratt	Cr J Briggs (via audio link)
Cr K Brown	Cr B Dyer
Cr S Edwards	Cr A Mitchell
Cr K Morgan	Cr C Parkin
Cr N Shaw	Cr T Stallinger
Cr G Tupou	

IN ATTENDANCE:

J Miller, Chief Executive
A Blackshaw, Director Neighbourhoods and Communities
J Griffiths, Director Strategy and Engagement
M Dunn, Solicitor
R Hardie, Head of Strategy and Policy
C Parish, Head of Mayor's Office
R Houlbrooke, Policy Lead
S Bascand, Engagement Advisor
O Miller, Policy Advisor
K Stannard, Head of Democratic Services
K Glanville, Senior Democracy Advisor
H Clegg, Minute Taker

PUBLIC BUSINESS**1. OPENING FORMALITIES - KARAKIA TIMATANGA**

Whakataka te hau ki te uru	<i>Cease the winds from the west</i>
Whakataka te hau ki te tonga	<i>Cease the winds from the south</i>
Kia mākinakina ki uta	<i>Let the breeze blow over the land</i>
Kia mātaratara ki tai	<i>Let the breeze blow over the ocean</i>
E hī ake ana te atakura	<i>Let the red-tipped dawn come with</i>
He tio, he huka, he hau hū	<i>a sharpened air.</i>
Tihei mauri ora.	<i>A touch of frost, a promise of a</i>
	<i>glorious day.</i>

2. APOLOGIES

There were no apologies.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

Comments are recorded under the item to which they relate.

4. CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATIONS

There were no conflict of interest declarations.

5. REPRESENTATION REVIEW 2024: FINAL REPRESENTATION PROPOSAL

Report No. HCC2024/4/262 by the Head of Strategy and Policy

The Chief Executive clarified that the meeting was sitting in a quasi-judicial capacity. She advised that the Chair had the discretion to allow speakers who had not previously submitted on the matter to speak. She said that any comments made by those speakers could not be considered due to the application of legal principles.

Speaking under public comment, **Pam Hanna** asked members to keep the current community board system, emphasising its success. She pointed out the achievements of the Petone Community Board, the unique communities of interest represented by existing community boards, and the increasing support since the 2019 representation review. She opposed disestablishing the community boards and asked Council to maintain the current system.

Speaking under public comment, **Craig Sharp, Principal of Wainuiomata Intermediate School**, supported the Wainuiomata Community Board, highlighting its role in connecting communities and enhancing public input in decision-making. He argued that community boards, with their less formal structure, helped overcome barriers to participation and provided meaningful citizen engagement. He urged Council to retain the current system.

Speaking under public comment, **Sisi Leafa**, the first Samoan woman elected to the Wainuiomata Community Board (WCB), emphasised the importance of community voices in decision-making. She argued for empowering boards to engage more effectively with the public. She noted the need for more platforms to ensure all voices were heard.

Speaking under public comment, **Fanua Rimoni** spoke in support of community boards, because they involved local people in decision-making and provided a safe space for community voices. She emphasised the importance of retaining community boards and noted the opportunities they offered for youth.

Speaking under public comment, **Riana Leafa** spoke in support of community boards as vital platforms for voicing concerns, supporting communities and providing pathways for rangitahi participation. She urged Council to retain them.

Speaking under public comment, **Lesa Bingley, Deputy Chair of the WCB**, supported retaining community boards, emphasising Wainuiomata's community spirit and the boards' ties to local groups. She argued that comparing support levels from different boards was unfair and urged Council to honour community sentiment.

Speaking under public comment, **Derek Wilshere** spoke in support of the boundary adjustment for Burdan's Gate and the creation of a Māori Ward. However, he opposed the removal of community boards, urging Council to retain them due to strong community support in submissions.

Speaking under public comment, **Emily Keddell, representing the Eastbourne Community Board (ECB)**, thanked Council for supporting Burdan's Gate's boundary adjustment. Emily urged Council to retain the community boards, emphasising their effective engagement with the community and the high percentage of submissions favouring their retention.

In response to a question from a member, Emily said she was unfamiliar with the details of the boundary adjustment between the Wainuiomata and Harbour Wards. Emily noted that the ECB had been in contact with the WCB.

Speaking under public comment, **Mike Fisher, Chair of the Petone Community Board**, emphasised the importance of community boards and urged Council to retain them to avoid diminishing local communities.

Speaking under public comment, **Mike Collett, President Lower Hutt Memorial RSA**, asked about future liaison contacts for community events and emphasised the RSA's commitment to supporting veterans and organising ANZAC Day parades. Mike inquired about a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with Council and highlighted funding challenges faced by community events.

The Chair asked the officers to investigate the MoU and to communicate directly with Mike Collett.

The Head of Strategy and Policy elaborated on the report.

In response to a member's question, the Head of Strategy and Policy initially stated that anyone could appeal Council's decision. However, he later clarified that only those who formally submitted could appeal.

Deputy Mayor Lewis left the meeting at 9.43am.

In response to additional inquiries from members, the Head of Strategy and Policy clarified that the boundary adjustment between Wainuiomata and Harbour Wards was solely for election purposes. He mentioned that consultation had been conducted with the two property owners affected by the adjustment.

The Chief Executive advised that Mana Whenua had been informed about the boundary adjustment proposal and understood it was solely for election purposes, not a land transfer. She stated that they did not anticipate formal consultation.

Deputy Mayor Lewis rejoined the meeting at 9.45am.

The meeting adjourned at 9.47am and resumed at 9.51am.

The members reviewed the table (attached as pages 11-20 to the minutes) outlining the reasons for responding to each submission theme. They agreed with the reasons for most themes, except those related to retaining community boards, which they planned to discuss separately. They also pointed out that the proposed response for retaining the Eastern Ward should also mention the Central Ward.

Cr Mitchell expressed support for the proposed changes to the boundaries of the Northern, Central, and Western Wards, noting minimal community concern. He

also acknowledged that the change would significantly impact the Eastern Ward.

Cr Shaw expressed support for the proposed boundary changes, stating that they would add value to the Northern Ward.

Cr Stallinger clarified his earlier abstention on the Māori Ward item, stating that he accepted and supported the democratic decision. He said he would support the proposal to have 13 councillors.

Deputy Mayor Lewis expressed support for the proposed changes to the boundaries of the Harbour and Wainuiomata Wards. She added that retaining Korokoro in the Harbour Ward was sensible as it represented a historic connection.

Cr Brown expressed support for the proposed ward boundary changes. She added that adding a Māori Ward Councillor would not change Council's overall remuneration pool.

Cr Briggs expressed support for reducing the number of city-wide councillors by one to make room for the Māori Ward Councillor. He believed that the proposed composition of Council was appropriate.

Community boards

The Chair focused the members' attention on whether to keep or disestablish the community boards for Lower Hutt. He advised that he had asked officers to investigate the possibility of having only one community board (Eastbourne) and had been told that it would be hard to justify this option. He believed that there was no valid reason to consider creating more boards.

Cr Brown thanked the public speakers and acknowledged the significance of community engagement. She emphasised that Wainuiomata, a large and diverse suburb, needed specific representation through a community board to ensure equity and support for rangitahi. She advocated for the continuation of the current system while recognising areas that did not have a community board.

MOVED: (Cr Brown/Deputy Mayor Lewis)

"That Council agrees to retain the current community boards representation – Petone, Eastbourne and Wainuiomata."

Deputy Mayor Lewis, who transitioned from the PCB to a councillor, mentioned that people strongly connect with community boards. While acknowledging imperfections, she believed the current system worked well where community boards exist. She urged members to improve representation in areas without boards and supported retaining the existing three community boards in Lower Hutt.

Cr Shaw said that she found the decision challenging and supported the disestablishment of community boards in favour of a new city-wide system for effective consultation and localism.

Cr Edwards expressed conflicting views on the issue and opposed creating more

community boards or increasing delegations to existing ones. He suggested exploring a targeted rates system and emphasised the need for better representation in areas without community boards, especially in the northern areas. Despite supporting the retention of current community boards, he called for improvements.

Cr Tupou asked for a review to streamline the community board process, increase efficiency, and reduce formality. He believed the community board system should represent localism and suggested exploring alternative methods for city-wide representation.

Cr Morgan acknowledged the difficulty of retaining or disestablishing community boards. Although she valued the work of community board members, she supported disestablishment due to the current system's lack of equitable representation across the city. She believed a better representation system for localism could be developed.

Cr Parkin believed that some parts of the city needed to be better represented while others had effective models in place. He acknowledged the valuable work of existing community boards despite their limited funding. He foreshadowed a motion to create community boards in all city areas if the opportunity arose.

Cr Stallinger explained that he found the decision challenging and expressed support for disestablishing community boards to implement a new city-wide representation system.

Cr Barratt expressed support for disestablishing community boards. She stated that the current model was not equitable across the city.

Cr Dyer recognised the challenging decision and the strong feedback from areas with community boards. He believed that the current system was unfair and foreshadowed a motion to explore alternative representation models using existing community board funding.

Cr Briggs acknowledged the difficult decision at hand. He graduated from the community board system and expressed his belief that the current representation system did not achieve equity and equality. He advocated for a new model and supported disestablishing community boards, emphasising the need for a reset.

Cr Tupou left the meeting at 10.32am and rejoined the meeting at 10.33am.

Cr Mitchell believed the decision was a "lose-lose" situation. He noted that the community board system was not necessarily intended for Lower Hutt, even though three community boards were created. He referenced Local Government New Zealand's Guide to Localism. He highlighted that his research showed that many local communities in Lower Hutt felt excluded from the current representation system. He stated that he would support the status quo if the Eastern Ward had a community board. However, since no communities had requested a board since 1989, he believed a better representation system could be found and reluctantly did not support the current system.

Mayor Barry stated that he started his journey in local government on a community board and acknowledged their importance in local governance. Although he recognised the efforts of all community board members, he reluctantly supported their disestablishment. He stressed that it was Council's duty to devise a fairer and more suitable representation system for the city.

The motion was declared LOST on the voices.

MOVED: (Mayor Barry/Cr Morgan)

“That Council agrees that there be no community boards in the City and the three existing community boards be disestablished.”

Cr Tupou spoke in for the motion and voiced disappointment about the city losing the existing community boards.

Cr Dyer proposed an amendment to the motion with a new part asking officers to investigate an alternative model for engagement. With the agreement of the majority of members, the motion was accepted as part of the original motion.

Cr Brown expressed disappointment that the current situation was not supported. She called for genuine representation for Wainuiomata and thanked the community boards for their hard work. She also opposed the disestablishment of community boards.

Cr Parkin opposed the disestablishment of community boards and foreshadowed a motion to establish community boards across the city if the vote to disestablish them was not carried.

Cr Stallinger hoped that the dedicated community board members could still be involved.

Mayor Barry stated that finding a way forward would be challenging, but he believed that a better method of engagement with all Lower Hutt communities could be found. He did not believe that the status quo was the solution, and he acknowledged the current distrust in both local and central government nationwide.

The meeting adjourned at 10.51am and resumed at 11.06am.

Mayor Barry read the updated rationale for disestablishing community boards, attached as pages 13-15 to the minutes.

RESOLVED: (Mayor Barry/Cr Barratt)

Minute No. C 24401(2)

“That Council:

- (1) notes that on 27 June 2024 Council adopted its initial representation proposal for public consultation (attached as Appendix 1 to the report) based on the recommendations of the independent representation review panel;*
- (2) notes that consultation on the initial representation proposal ran from 1 July to 1 August 2024, with 370 valid submissions received;*

- (3) *notes that hearings of submissions were held on 26 August 2024;*
- (4) *notes the analysis of submissions attached in Appendix 2 to the report;*
- (5) *agrees to extend the Harbour ward to include the area south of Burdan's Gate to Pencarrow lighthouse, attached as Appendix 3 to the report, for the reason that:*
 - (a) *submissions presented a compelling case that the area south of Burdan's Gate better aligns with the functional dimension of the community of interest in Eastbourne rather than Wainuiomata due to its geographically bound accessibility constraint;*
- (6) *agrees to adopt the following as its final representation proposal under sections 19H and 19J of the Local Electoral Act 2001:*
 - (a) *Hutt City Council comprise a mayor and 13 councillors;*
 - (b) *the councillors be elected under a mixed system of representation, with:*
 - i. *five councillors elected at-large across the City;*
 - ii. *seven councillors elected from five general wards; and*
 - iii. *one councillor elected from one Māori ward;*
 - (c) *the five general wards be as follows:*
 - i. *Northern General Ward covering Stokes Valley, Taita, Naenae and Avalon, electing two councillors;*
 - ii. *Central General Ward covering Boulcott, Epuni, Fairfield, Waterloo, Hutt Central, Alicetown, Melling, Woburn and Waiwhetu, electing two Councillors;*
 - iii. *Western General Ward covering Manor Park, Belmont Park, Kelson, Belmont, Tirohanga, Normandale and Maungaraki, electing one councillor;*
 - iv. *Harbour General Ward covering Korokoro, Petone, Moera, Gracefield, Eastern Bays and Eastbourne, including the area south of Burdan's Gate, electing one councillor; and*
 - v. *Wainuiomata General Ward electing one councillor;*
 - (d) *the Māori ward, covering the area of the City, be called Mana Kairangi ki Tai Māori Ward; and*

RESOLVED: (Mayor Barry/Cr Morgan)

Minute No. C 24402(2)

- (e) *there be no community boards in the City, and the three existing community boards be disestablished;*

The motion was declared CARRIED by division with the voting as follows:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>
Mayor Barry	Deputy Mayor Lewis
Cr Briggs	Cr Brown
Cr Dyer	Cr Edwards
Cr Mitchell	Cr Parkin
Cr Shaw	
Cr Barratt	
Cr Tupou	
Cr Stallinger	
Cr Morgan	
Total: 9	Total: 4

RESOLVED: (Mayor Barry/Cr Barratt)

Minute No. C 24403(2)

- (7) *agrees that the ground on which the final proposal is not compliant with section 19V(2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001 is that compliance with subsection (2) would result in either dividing Wainuiomata or uniting parts of this community of interest with other areas with few commonalities;*
- (8) *agrees to the proposed "reasons for any rejection of submissions" as outlined in the final representation proposal tabled at the meeting and attached as pages 12-21 to the minutes;*
- (9) *notes that officers will report back to Council on work to improve engagement efforts across Lower Hutt following the disestablishment of community boards. This will consider broad strategies, budget, and a timeline for this work;*
- (10) *notes that if agreed, the final representation proposal will be publicly notified on 11 September 2024; and*
- (11) *notes the appeals process will run from 12 September 2024 to 12 October 2024, with a Local Government Commission hearing date on 26 November 2024, if required."*

Cr Parkin requested that his dissenting vote be recorded against parts (7)-(11) above.

6. QUESTIONS

There were no questions.

7. CLOSING FORMALITIES - KARAKIA WHAKAMUTUNGA

Unuhia!	<i>Release us from the supreme sacredness</i>
Unuhia!	<i>of our tasks</i>
Unuhia i te uru-tapu-nui	<i>To be clear and free</i>
Kia wātea, kia māmā	<i>in heart, body and soul in our continuing journey</i>
Te ngākau, te tinana, te wairua i te	<i>Oh Rongo, raise these words up high</i>
ara takatū	<i>so that we be cleansed and be free,</i>
Koia rā e Rongo whakairihia ake ki	<i>Yes indeed, we are free!</i>
runga	<i>Good and peaceful</i>
Kia wātea, kia wātea!	
Ae rā, kua wātea!	
Hau, pai mārire.	

There being no further business, the Chair declared the meeting closed at 11.15am.

C Barry
MAYOR

CONFIRMED as a true and correct record
Dated this 1st day of October 2024



Hutt City Council

Final proposal for representation arrangements for the 2025 local elections

On 10 September 2024, Hutt City Council considered submissions received on the initial proposal regarding representation arrangements for Council to apply to the local elections to be held on 11 October 2025.

Hutt City Council received 370 valid submissions on its proposal.

- 134 submissions supported and 116 submissions opposed that Hutt City Council comprise a Mayor and 13 Councillors.
- 132 submissions were in favour and 139 submissions opposed that Councillors be elected under a mixed system of representation.
- 139 submissions supported and 131 opposed proposed boundaries for 5 General wards.
- 79 submissions were in favour and 268 opposed that Community Boards be disestablished.

Comments shared in objection to various elements of the proposal are summarised as follows:

- 132 submitters said they wanted to retain the Eastbourne Community Board
- 125 submitters said they wanted to retain Community Boards
- 76 submitters said they didn't support adding a Māori ward
- 61 submitters said that Community Boards should be extended to all wards
- 35 submitters said 13 Councillors and a Mayor are too many
- 26 submitters said they wanted to retain the Wainuiomata Community Board
- 22 submitters said they prefer to retain the status quo
- 21 submitters said they didn't support some wards having two representatives, saying representation is uneven
- 20 submitters said that Wainuiomata was underrepresented

- 16 submitters said they wanted to retain the Petone Community Board
- 11 submitters said they preferred ward Councillors only
- 10 submitters said they preferred At-Large Councillors only
- 10 submitters said that the Harbour Ward should have 2 Councillors
- 8 submitters said they didn't want to lose the Eastern Ward
- 7 submitters said 13 Councillors and a Mayor were not enough people to do a big job
- 6 submitters suggested a combined Harbour Ward Community Board
- 4 submitters said there should be more than one Māori Ward
- 1 submitter suggested a part-time Wainuiomata Ward Councillor to support the bigger population
- 1 submitter said that Community Boards should be given more powers
- 1 submitter said there are too many wards
- 1 submitter said Council should be looking at amalgamation with Wellington City Council and Porirua City Council to create a super city.

Final proposal

Having considered all submissions, Council resolved to adopt its initial proposal as Council's final proposal, subject to the following amendment:

- extending the Harbour Ward to include the area south of Burdan's Gate to Pencarrow lighthouse.

Council considered these changes appropriate for the following reasons:

Extending the Harbour Ward boundary

- submissions presented a compelling case that the area south of Burdan's Gate better aligns with the functional dimension of the community of interest in Eastbourne rather than Wainuiomata due to its geographically bound accessibility constraint.

Council rejected other matters raised for the following reasons:

Submission Theme	Number of comments	Proposed response
Council should retain Eastbourne Community Board	132	Council considers the final proposal provides for more fair and effective representation than having some wards with Community Boards.
Council should retain Community Boards	125	Council considers the final proposal provides for more fair and effective representation than having some wards with Community Boards.
Council should not add a Māori Ward	76	This decision sits outside the scope of the representation review.
Council should extend Community Boards to all Wards	61	Council concluded that there was not enough evidence in the submissions to support establishing Community Boards across the city. The elected member arrangements outlined in the final proposal provide for fair and effective representation across these areas.
Council should have fewer Councillors	35	Council agreed with the Panel's conclusion in section 8a of its report that having 13 Councillors (5 elected at large (i.e. city wide), 7

		elected to general wards, and 1 Māori ward) strikes the right balance for fair and effective representation.
Council should retain Wainuiomata Community Board	26	Council considers the final proposal provides for more fair and effective representation than having some Wards with Community Boards.
Council should maintain the status quo	22	Council considers the final proposal provides for more fair and effective representation than current representation arrangements.
Representation should be one ward councillor per General Ward only	21	Under section 19V of the Local Electoral Act 2001, Councillors must each represent approximately the same number of people (within a margin of +/-10%). Because two wards are significantly larger, they need to have two councillors to meet this requirement.
Council should add another Councillor to the Wainuiomata General Ward	20	Under section 19V of the Local Electoral Act 2001, Councillors must each represent approximately the same number of people (within a margin of +/-10%). Adding another Wainuiomata General Ward Councillor would not meet this requirement as it

		would result in over representation.
Council should retain Petone Community Board	16	Council considers the final proposal provides for more fair and effective representation than having some Wards with Community Boards.
Council should be made up of ward councillors only	11	A mixed system of representation (Ward and At-Large Councillors) provides for more fair and effective representation of the communities of interest in the city.
Council should be made up of At-Large Councillors only	10	A mixed system of representation (Ward and At-Large Councillors) provides for more fair and effective representation of the communities of interest in the city.
Council should add another Councillor to the Harbour General Ward	10	Under section 19V of the Local Electoral Act 2001, Councillors must each represent approximately the same number of people (within a margin of +/-10%). Adding another Harbour General Ward Councillor would not meet this requirement as it would result in over representation.

Council should retain the Eastern Ward	8	Council agreed with the Panel's conclusion that an expanded Northern Ward and Central Ward better reflects the interconnection of communities of interest across a wider northern area of the city than is evident in the current Eastern Ward.
Council should have a greater number of councillors	7	Council agreed with the Panel's conclusion in section 8a of its report that having 13 Councillors (5 elected at large, 7 elected to general wards, and 1 Māori ward) strikes the right balance for fair and effective representation.
Council should create a combined Harbour Ward Community Board	6	Council considers the final proposal provides for more fair and effective representation than having a large Community Board in the Harbour Ward. In addition, the +/-10% rule would add a significant number of representatives in the Petone subdivision of the Community Board over Eastbourne representatives.
Council should establish more than one Māori ward	4	The number of Māori ward Councillors that Hutt City can have is set by a formula in the Local Electoral Act 2001. With 13 Councillors,

		Hutt City cannot have more than one Māori ward Councillor.
Council should create a part-time Wainuiomata Councillor	1	The Local Electoral Act 2001 does not allow for the establishment of a 'part-time' Councillor.
Council should give Community Boards more powers	1	Decision-making powers for Community Boards are out of the scope of the final proposal.
Council should reduce the number of wards	1	The final proposal provides for a more fair and effective representation of our geographical communities of interest than reducing the number of wards.
Council should be considering amalgamation	1	Amalgamation is not in the scope of the representation review.

Therefore, the final proposal is as follows.

Council representation

Hutt City Council is made up of a Mayor and 13 Councillors elected under a mixed system of representation, with:

- 7 Councillors elected from 5 General Wards
- 5 Councillors elected at-large from across the city
- 1 Councillor elected from Mana Kairangi ki Tai Māori Ward

The 5 General wards reflect the following identified communities of interest:

WARD	COMMUNITIES
Northern General Ward	Stokes Valley, Taitā, Naenae, Avalon
Central General Ward	Boulcott, Epuni, Fairfield, Waterloo, Hutt Central, Alicetown, Melling, Woburn, Waiwhetu
Western General Ward	Manor Park, Belmont Park, Kelson, Belmont, Tirohanga, Normandale, Maungaraki
Harbour General Ward	Korokoro, Petone, Moerā, Gracefield, Eastern Bays, Eastbourne, including the area south of Burdan's Gate
Wainuiomata General Ward	Arakura, Glendale, Homedale, Pencarrow, Wainuiomata

The population that Councillors will represent is as follows:

WARD	POPULATION	COUNCILLOR/S	POPULATION PER COUNCILLOR
Northern General Ward	27,500	2	13,750
Central General Ward	27,500	2	13,750
Western General Ward	13,950	1	13,950
Harbour General Ward	15,700	1	15,700
Wainuiomata General Ward	16,600	1	16,600

In accordance with section 19V(2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, the population that each Councillor represents must be within the range of 14,750 +/-10% (13,275

to 16,225), unless particular community of interest considerations justify otherwise.

Wainuiomata General Ward falls outside the stipulated range (16,600 or 12.54%). Council considers that it is necessary to avoid dividing the Wainuiomata General Ward for the following reason:

- to avoid separating communities of interest or uniting within one ward communities of interest with few commonalities.

Community board representation

There be no community boards in Lower Hutt and the three existing community boards be disestablished.

Appeals and objections

In accordance with Section 19O of the Local Electoral Act 2001, anyone who made a submission to Council's initial proposal may lodge an appeal against Council's final decision. An appeal must relate to matters raised in their initial submission.

In accordance with Section 19P of the Local Electoral Act 2001, anyone who objects to the amended final proposal may lodge an objection. The objection must clearly identify the matters being objected to, in the final proposal.

Appeals and objections must be:

- made in writing,
- received no later than 5pm 12 October 2024,
- be sent to either:

Email: haveyoursay@huttcity.govt.nz, or

Post/physical address: Hutt City Council Administration Building, 30 Laings Road, Lower Hutt, 5040

Further information

Visit our website:

- [Hutt.city/Repreview](https://hutt.city/Repreview)

Direct enquiries regarding Council's decision can be made in the following ways:

- Ph: 04 570 6666 | 0800 488 824

- Email: Haveyoursay@huttcity.govt.nz

Johanna Miller
Chief Executive
11 September 2024